

Attorney Docket No. 012.P3003

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****MAY 17 2005**

In re Patent Application of:)

Shaolin Li)

Application No.: 10/820,962)

Filed: April 7, 2004)

For: Method of Secure)
Communications in a Wireless)
Distribution System)**ATTORNEY REVIEWING:
PAUL SHANOSKI****CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION***I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S.
Patent and Trademark Office on:*

May 17, 2005

Date of Transmission

Shannon R. Verboort

*Name of Person Transmitting Correspondence**Signature***SECOND RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(b)****COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

Dear Sir,

Applicant respectfully submits this Second Renewed Petition Under 37
C.F.R. § 1.47 (b).

The above named application is related to the following four other U.S.
Patent Applications all of which claim priority to the same provisional application,
Serial No.s: 10/821,143, 10/820,961, 10/820,963 and 10/821,038. The
Provisional Application is Serial No. 60/461,170.

As noted in the Decision on Petition Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 (b) mailed
October 13, 2004 (hereinafter "the Decision on Petition"), the Office reasoned

that the Applicant had met a substantial portion of the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(b) but not all. Specifically, the Office reasoned that the Applicant met requirements (1)-(5) of (8) stated in the Decision on Petition.^{1,2}

In reply, Applicant submitted the Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 (b), (hereinafter "the Request for Reconsideration"). The Office responded, granting the Request for Reconsideration in its Decision on Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR § 1.47 (b), mailed March 31, 2005 (hereinafter "the Decision on Renewed Petition"). The Decision was subsequently vacated by the Corrected Decision on Renewed Petition Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 (b), mailed April 6th, 2005 (hereinafter "the Corrected Decision on Renewed Petition"). In the Corrected Decision on Renewed Petition, the Office asserted that the Request for Reconsideration further met requirements (6) and (7) of the (8) requirements for a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R § 1.47 (b).³

Applicant respectfully submits that the enclosed declaration satisfies the eighth requirement of 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 (b). Thus Applicant has met requirements (1)-(8) for a grantable petition under 37 CFR § 1.47 (b). Applicant respectfully

¹ Decision on Petition Under 37 CFR § 1.47 (b), paragraph 4, page 2.

² A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 (b) requires:

- (1) The petition fee;
- (2) a surcharge if the petition was not filed at the time of filing of the application;
- (3) a statement of the last known address of each of the non-signing inventors;
- (4) proof that a copy of the application was sent or given to each of the non-signing inventors for review;
- (5) proof that each of the non-signing inventors refused to sign;
- (6) proof that rule 47(b) applicant has sufficient proprietary interest in the subject matter to justify the filing of the application;
- (7) proof of irreparable damage, and;
- (8) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116 and 37 CFR § 1.63

³ Corrected Decision on Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR § 1.47 (b), paragraph 2, page 2.

Attorney Docket No. 012.P3003

requests that the Office grant Applicant's Second Renewed Petition Under 37

C.F.R. § 1.47 (b).

Respectfully submitted,



Michelle C. Craig
Reg. No. 52,776

Dated: 5/17/05

Berkeley Law and Technology Group, LLC
5250 NE Young Parkway, #850
Hillsboro, OR 97124